FORM 26. Docketing Statement

Form 26 (p. 1) July 2020

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

DOCKETING STATEMENT

Case Number: 2023	Case Number: 2023-1967					
Short Case Caption: Xerox Corp. v. Snap Inc.						
Filing Party/Entity: Snap Inc.						
-						
Instructions: Complete each section or check the box if a section is intentionally blank or not applicable. Attach additional pages as needed. Refer to the court's Mediation Guidelines for filing requirements. An amended docketing statement is required for each new appeal or cross-appeal consolidated after first filing.						
Case Origin	Originating Number	Type of Case				
Patent Trial and Appeal Brd.	IPR2021-00986	Inter Partes Review				
Relief sought on appeal: None/Not Applicable Affirmance of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board's determination that claims 1-20, and proposed substitute claims 21-40, of U.S. Patent No. 9,208,439 are unpatentable.						
Relief awarded below (if damages, specify): None/Not Applicable						
Determination that claims 1-20, and proposed substitute claims 21-40, of U.S. Patent No. 9,208,439 are unpatentable						
Briefly describe the judgment/order appealed from:						
In its final written decision, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board determined that claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 9,208,439 are unpatentable. The Board also determined proposed substitute claims 21-40 unpatentable.						
Nature of judgment (select on ☐ Final Judgment, 28 US ☐ Rule 54(b) ☐ Interlocutory Order (sp ☐ Other (explain)	SC § 1295	lgment: <u>3/22/23</u>				

FORM 26. Docketing Statement

Form 26 (p. 2) July 2020

Name and docket number of any related cases pending before this court, and the name of the writing judge if an opinion was issued. \square None/Not Applicable							
Xerox Corp. v. X Corp. 23-1968 (Fed. Cir.) (also involving U.S. Patent No. 9,208,439) Xerox Corp. v. Facebook, Inc. 23-1969 (Fed. Cir.) (also involving U.S. Patent No. 9,208,439)							
Issues to be raised on appeal: None/Not Applicable							
Whether the Patent Office correctly determined claims 1-20, and proposed substitute claims 21-40, of U.S. Patent No. 9,208,439 are unpatentable.							
Have there been discussions with ☐ Yes ☑ No	h other part	ies relating to	sett <mark>l</mark> ement o	of this case?			
If "yes," when were the last such discussions? ☐ Before the case was filed below ☐ During the pendency of the case below ☐ Following the judgment/order appealed from							
If "yes," were the settlement discussions mediated? Yes No If they were mediated, by whom?							
Do you believe that this case may be amenable to mediation? ☐ Yes ☑ No Explain.							
Xerox is currently asserting mul of California, including the pater discussions solely in context of the	nt at issue ir	n this appeal. S	Snap does no				
Provide any other information remediation program.	elevant to th	e inclusion of t	his case in t	the court's			
				_			
Date: 6/15/23	Signature:	/s/ Yar R. Chaikovsky					
	Name:	Yar R. Chai	kovsly				